Draft programme for the one day seminar on

Societal Outcome of

Academic-Industrial Collaboration

How can we stimulate and measure the societal outcome of academic-industrial collaborations

20 September, 2018

Sheraton Berlin Grand Hotel Esplanade Berlin, Germany



Target groups:

Research managers & Innovation experts Science funders & Policy makers R&D experts from industry Scientometricians Intermediaries

Organised by:



NETWORK FOR Advancing & Evaluating the Societal Impact of Science

In partnership with:







DRAFT PROGRAMME

- SOCIETAL OUTCOME OF ACADEMIC-INDUSTRIAL COLLABORATION -

Background and reasoning

In countries in all parts of the world, experts are working on improving the process of measuring and demonstrating the impact of science on society. In the past years, new methods have been developed to better justify and demonstrate the societal impact of scientific research by universities and research institutions. Increasingly, research councils and universities alike plan activities to involve more external beneficiaries and realise impact. The Standard Evaluation Protocol (SEP) in the Netherlands, the Research Excellence Framework (REF) and the recently introduced Knowledge Exchange Framework are excellent examples of this. The latter has been set up to collect data on institutional level performance in knowledge exchange, assessing university achievements in serving the economy and society.

When stimulating impact of science, the traditional output metrics have been focussing on academic-industrial alliances, contract research and IPR strategies. Since a broader, more inclusive societal impact is now on many agendas of science funders, a need for other criteria has evolved. The question now is how the traditional criteria can be combined with these new criteria which also include the Social Sciences and the Humanities. Sometimes, conflicts of interests with a broader societal impact may occur when industry takes over ownership of university patents. How can we guarantee an optimal return of investment in science?

During this interactive seminar, experts from Germany as well as other countries, leading the innovation in research evaluation and strategy, will discuss their experiences. They will focus on the current German research eco-system and compare this to (inter)national insights on if and how you can include non-academic impact in evaluation processes and research policy.

Specifically, the seminar will focus on the following **topics**:

- ♦ Societal challenges and university-industry alliances
 - Supervisory instruments of government to maximise the societal output of academic-industrial alliances:
 - ♦ Anti-fridge clauses

 \diamond

- ♦ Exclusive ownership vs. Open science
- How can we position "Open Innovation"
- ♦ How can we measure societal impact of science through industry?
- New insights and experiences in measuring science for society and industry

The seminar will be co-chaired by **Dietmar Harhoff**, Director of the Max Planck Institute for Innovation and Competition & chair of the Commission of Experts for Research and Innovation (EFI) as well as **Mr Volker Meyer Guckel**, depute Secretary General of the Stifterverband für die Deutsche Wissenschaft.

Program Advisory Committee

- Dietmar Harhoff, Director of the Max Planck Institute for Innovation and Competition
- Stefan Hornbostel, Head of Research System and Science Dynamics, DZHW
- Volker Meyer Guckel, dept Secretary-General of the Stifterverband
- ♦ Alfred Schillert, Chairman Technologie Allianz



Seminar Programme Thursday 20 September - Morning

08.30-09.00	Registration	
09.00-09.15	Welcome by the Seminar Chair:	
		eral of the Stifterverband für die Deutsche Wissenschaft,
09.15-10.30	I Plenary opening: - Emporio I Room —	
09.15	MinDir Matthias Graf von Kielmansegg, Head of the Department on Policy Issues, Strategy and Digital Transformation, Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Germany	
09.30	Dr. Matthias Gottwald, Head of R&D Policy & Networking at Bayer AG, Germany	
09.45	Dr. Alison Campbell, Chair of AUTM & Director of Knowledge Transfer Ireland	
10.00	DiplGeogr. Carsten Schröder , Vice President for Research Management and Knowledge Transfer, University of Applied Science Münster, Germany	
10.15	Dr. Thomas Gurney, Analytical Services, Elsevier, The Netherlands	
10.30-11.00	Panel discussion led by the seminar chair	
11.00-11.30	Group picture & Break — Wintergarten B —	
11.30-12.45	II Parallel sessions	
	Openness and transparency cademic-industrial collaboration	IPR policies
	Openness and transparency	IPR policies — Embassy Room —
in a How car	Openness and transparency cademic-industrial collaboration	— Embassy Room — Which IPR policies and IPR agreements are best capable to stimulate that university discoveries are used in an industrial
in a How car confidentio Where do we open innovatio	Openness and transparency cademic-industrial collaboration - Emporio I Room — n we combine the required exclusivity &	— Embassy Room — Which IPR policies and IPR agreements are best capable to
in a How car confidentia Where do we open innovatio Dr. Volker Me	Openness and transparency cademic-industrial collaboration - Emporio I Room — n we combine the required exclusivity & ality with openness in these cooperations? e see possibilities to combine open science & n with public-private technology development	— Embassy Room — Which IPR policies and IPR agreements are best capable to stimulate that university discoveries are used in an industrial setting in such a way that the outcome for society is
in a How car confidentio Where do we open innovatio Dr. Volker Me the Stifterverb Dr. Susanne M	Openness and transparency cademic-industrial collaboration - Emporio I Room — n we combine the required exclusivity & ality with openness in these cooperations? e see possibilities to combine open science & n with public-private technology development in a competitive environment? yer Guckel (Chair), dept Secretary-General of and für die Deutsche Wissenschaft, Germany üller-Knapp, Structural Genomics Consortium, t Manager Buchmann Institute for Molecular	Embassy Room Which IPR policies and IPR agreements are best capable to stimulate that university discoveries are used in an industrial setting in such a way that the outcome for society is optimised? Dr. Alison Campbell (Chair), Chair of AUTM & Director of
in a How car confidentio Where do we open innovatio Dr. Volker Me the Stifterverb Dr. Susanne M Senior Project	Openness and transparency cademic-industrial collaboration - Emporio I Room — n we combine the required exclusivity & ality with openness in these cooperations? e see possibilities to combine open science & n with public-private technology development in a competitive environment? yer Guckel (Chair), dept Secretary-General of and für die Deutsche Wissenschaft, Germany üller-Knapp, Structural Genomics Consortium,	— Embassy Room — Which IPR policies and IPR agreements are best capable to stimulate that university discoveries are used in an industrial setting in such a way that the outcome for society is optimised? Dr. Alison Campbell (Chair), Chair of AUTM & Director of Knowledge Transfer Ireland Dr. Jörn Erselius, Managing Director of Max Planck Innovation

12.45-13.45 Lunch — Emporio II Room —

Draft Programme Thursday 20 September - Afternoon

Measuring outcome of academic-industrial collaborations — Emporio I Room —	Conditions for effective collaboration with industry — <i>Embassy Room</i> —
Which academic-industry collaborations are creating the best output for both partners and how can we measure its contribution to innovation and welfare?	What agreements can we make with industry in order to optimise the outcome of academic industrial alliances for society at large. Which role is there for government and academia?
Prof. Dr. Stefan Hornbostel (Chair), Head of Research System and Science Dynamics, DZHW & professor for Sociology,	Prof. Dr. Torben Schubert <i>(Chair),</i> Fraunhofer-Institute for Systems and Innovation Research, Germany
Humboldt University zu Berlin, Germany	Günter Korder, Managing Director Operations,
Dr. Tjark von Reden, Departmental Director Spitzencluster	it's OWL Clustermanagement GmbH, Germany
MAI-Carbon, Germany	DiplGeogr. Carsten Schröder, Vice President for Research
Dr. Jörg Hellwig, Analytical Services Germany, Elsevier	Management and Knowledge Transfer, University of Applie
Rikke Nørding Christensen, Scientific Officer Impact	Science Münster, Germany
Assessment, Novo Nordisk Foundation, Denmark	Dr. Henric Rhedin , president ASTP-Proton, Business Develope Sahlgrenska School of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Gothenburg University, Sweden

15.00-15.30 Break— Wintergarten B —

15.30-17.00 IV Closing Panel - Conditions for creating a sustainable framework — Emporio I Room —

- **15.30** Recommendations from the parallel sessions
- **15.45 Prof. Dietmar Harhoff,** Director of the Max Planck Institute for Innovation and Competition & chair of the Commission of Experts for Research and Innovation (EFI), *Introduction to the panel*
- 16.00 <u>Chair</u>: Dietmar Harhoff

Dr. Matthias Gottwald, Head of R&D Policy & Networking, Bayer AG, Germany

Prof. Dr. Ing. Joachim Hornegger, President of the University Erlangen-Nuremberg (FAU), Germany

Alice Frost, Director Knowledge Exchange, Research England, United Kingdom

Dr. Henric Rhedin, president ASTP-Proton, Business Developer Sahlgrenska School of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Gothenburg University, Sweden